

APR. 13. 2005 2:08PM

16509618301

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

APR 13 2005

NO. 850 P. 1

BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS, LLP

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW

590 W. El Camino Real, Mountain View, CA 94040
Telephone: (650) 961-8300 Facsimile: (650) 961-8301
www.beyerlaw.com

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

April 13, 2005

Receiver: Fernando L. Toledo, Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2823

TEL #: (571) 272-1867

FAX #: (703) 872-9306

Sender: Francis T. Kalinski II, Registration No. 44,177

Our Ref. No.: 03-0962/LSI1P232

Re: INTERVIEW SUMMARY
U.S. Patent Application No. 10/679,004
Filed: October 2, 2003
Title: "Mechanism for Improving the Structural Integrity of Low-K Films"

Pages Including Cover Sheet(s): 9

MESSAGE:

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE

The information contained in this facsimile (FAX) message is legally privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the receiver or firm named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended receiver, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this FAX is strictly prohibited. If you have received this FAX in error, please immediately notify the sender at the telephone number provided above and return the original message to the sender at the address above via the United States Postal Service. Thank you.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: BERMAN et al.

Attorney Docket No.: 03-0962/LSI1P232

Application No.: 10/679,004

Examiner: TOLEDO, Fernando L.

Filed: October 2, 2003

Group: 2823

Title: MECHANISM FOR IMPROVING THE
STRUCTURAL INTEGRITY OF LOW-K FILMS

Confirmation No.: 8894

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to fax number 703-872-9306 to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on April 13, 2005.

Signed: *Sue Funchess*

Sue Funchess

AMENDMENT TRANSMITTALMail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450


Sir:

Transmitted herewith is an Amendment in the above-identified application.

The fee has been calculated as shown below.

	Claims After Amendment		Highest Previously Paid For	Present Extra	Small Entity Rate Fee	Large Entity Rate Fee
Total Claims	19	MINUS	21	0	x 25 =	x 50 = \$-0-
Independent Claims	5	MINUS	5	1	x 100 =	x 200 = \$-0-
Multiple Dependent Claim Present and Fee Not Previously Paid					\$180.00	\$360.00
Total					\$	\$-0-

- ☐ Applicant(s) hereby petition for a _____ month extension(s) of time to respond to the aforementioned Office Action.
- ☒ Applicant(s) believe that no (additional) Extension of Time is required; however, if it is determined that such an extension is required, Applicant(s) hereby petition that such an extension be granted and authorize the Commissioner to charge the required fees for an Extension of Time under 37 CFR 1.136 to Deposit Account No. 12-2252 (Order No. 03-0962).
- ☐ Enclosed is our Check No. _____ in the amount of \$_____ to cover the additional claim fee and/or extension of time fees.
- ☒ Please charge the required fees, or any additional fees required to facilitate filing the enclosed response, to Deposit Account No. 12-2252 (Order No. 03-0962).

Respectfully submitted,
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS, LLPFrancis T. Kalinski II
Registration No. 44,177P.O. Box 70250
Oakland, CA 94612-0250

APR 13 2005

NO. 850 P. 3

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: BERMAN et al.

Attorney Docket No.: 03-0962/LSI1P232

Application No.: 10/679,004

Examiner: TOLEDO, Fernando L.

Filed: October 2, 2003

Group: 2823

Title: MECHANISM FOR IMPROVING THE
STRUCTURAL INTEGRITY OF LOW-K FILMS

Confirmation No.: 8894

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted by facsimile to fax number 703-872-9306 to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on April 13, 2005.

Signed: _____

Sue Funchess

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Examiner Toledo:

This paper is a record of Examiner interviews dated April 1st, 11th, and 12th of 2005. The Applicants thank the Examiner for his consideration of the issues raised in these telephonic interviews. A listing of the changes discussed in the interviews follows. It is respectfully requested that the above-referenced patent application be reconsidered in light of the amendments and comments included hereinbelow. Kindly amend the above-entitled application as set forth below:

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims which begins on page 2 of this paper.

Remarks/Arguments begin on page 6 of this paper.